

APPROVED AS AMENDED

**SUPPLEMENT TO FACULTY DOCUMENT NO. 1686, October 19, 1989
November 16, 1989**

Proposed Student Academic Misconduct Procedures
Supplementary Information

The document forwarded by the Academic Policy Committee:

1. Provides that each school/college will have an investigating officer for cases involving its students. [14.01(12)]
2. Establishes two hearing bodies for academic misconduct, one for undergraduate/special students and one for graduate student cases. [14.08]
3. Specifies that members of the Academic Policy Committee will review cases when the Chancellor remands cases appealed to him/her. [14.09(2)]
4. Provides for readmission petitions (following suspension or expulsion) to be decided by the hearing committees described in #2 above.
5. Provides for the appointment of an individual to coordinate academic misconduct matters for the campus, including presiding at hearings. [14.02(14)]
6. Adds a sanction of "personal probation" [14.04(g-1))]
7. Provides procedures for the handling of misconduct related to the taking of placement or proficiency tests. [14.05(3))]
8. Specifies procedures for recording disciplinary actions and handling records of students under charges. [14.18)

Please note:

Faculty Document No. 1686 reproduces Chp. 14, UWS Wis. Adm. Code, with specific UWM Procedures presented, therein, in boldface within brackets.

**Recommendation of the Academic Policy Committee to Adopt
Campus Procedures for Implementation of Chapter UWS 14.**

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

Chapter UWS 14

STUDENT ACADEMIC DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES

The University of Wisconsin- Milwaukee, consistent with UWS 14.18, has adopted UWS 14 with campus-specific definitions and additions which appear within brackets in this document.

UWS 14.01	Statement of principles	UWS 14.09	Appeal to chancellor
UWS 14.02	Definitions	UWS 14.10	Discretionary appeal to the board of regents
UWS 14.03	Academic misconduct subject to disciplinary action	UWS 14.11	Settlement
UWS 14.04	Disciplinary sanctions	UWS 14.12	Effect of discipline within the university system
UWS 14.05	Disciplinary sanction imposed at the discretion of the instructor	UWS 14.13	Right to petition for admission
UWS 14.06	Disciplinary sanction imposed following a report of academic misconduct by the instructor	UWS 14.14	Investigating officer
UWS 14.07	Disciplinary sanction imposed following a report of academic misconduct by the investigating officer	UWS 14.15	Academic misconduct hearing committee: institutional option
UWS 14.08	Hearing	UWS 14.16	Notice to students
		UWS 14.17	Notice to instructors
		UWS 14.18	Consistent institutional policies

Note: See ch. UWS 17 for rules on student nonacademic disciplinary procedures.

UWS 14.01 Statement of principles.

The board of regents, administrators, faculty, academic staff and students of the university of Wisconsin system believe that academic honesty and integrity are fundamental to the mission of higher education and of the university of Wisconsin system. The university has a responsibility to promote academic honesty and integrity and to develop procedures to deal effectively with instances of academic dishonesty. Students are responsible for the honest completion and representation of their work, for the appropriate citation of sources, and for respect of others' academic endeavors. Students who violate these standards must be confronted and must accept the consequences of their actions.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.02 Definitions. In this chapter:

- (1) "Academic misconduct" means an act described in s. UWS 14.03

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

- (2) "Academic misconduct hearing committee" means the committee or hearing examiner appointed pursuant to s. UWS 14.15 to conduct hearings under s. UWS 14.08.
- (3) "Chancellor" means the chancellor or designee.
- (4) "Days" means calendar days.
- (5) "Disciplinary file" means the record maintained by the student affairs officer responsible for student discipline.
- (6) "Disciplinary probation" means a status in which a student may remain enrolled in the university only upon the condition that the student complies with specified standards of conduct for a specified period of time, not to exceed 2 semesters.
- (7) "Disciplinary sanction" means any action listed in s. UWS 14.04 taken in response to student academic misconduct.
- (8) "Expulsion" means termination of student status with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.
- (9) "hearing examiner" means an individual appointed by the chancellor in accordance with s. UWS 14.15 for the purpose of conducting a hearing under s. UWS 14.08.
- (10) "institution" means any university or center, or organizational equivalent designated by the board.
- (11) "instructor" means the faculty member or instructional academic staff member who has responsibility for the overall conduct of a course and ultimate responsibility for the assignment of the grade for the course.
- (12) "Investigating officer" means an individual, or his or her designee, appointed by the chancellor of each institution to carry out certain responsibilities in the course of investigations of academic misconduct under this chapter.

[The Dean of each school and college shall appoint an investigating officer who shall have jurisdiction over students enrolled in that school or college. In the case of degree and non-degree candidates not enrolled in schools or colleges, the investigating officer of the academic unit offering the course, in which the alleged misconduct occurred will have jurisdiction. The investigating officer of the College of Letters and Science shall have jurisdiction in the event a degree or non-degree candidate not enrolled in a school or college is alleged to have committed an act of academic misconduct outside the context of a course. (UWM, 1989)]
- (13) "Student" means any person who is registered for study in an institution for the academic period in which the misconduct occurred.
- (14) "Student affairs officer" means the dean of students or student affairs officer designated by the

chancellor to carry out duties described in this chapter.

[A student affairs officer for the campus shall be appointed by the Chancellor from among the members of the faculty or academic staff. (UWM, 1989)]

- (15) "Suspension" means a loss of student status for a specified length of time, not to exceed 2 years, with resultant loss of all student rights and privileges.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.03 Academic misconduct subject to disciplinary action.

- (1) Academic misconduct is an act in which a student:
- (a) Seeks to claim credit for the work or efforts of another without authorization or citation;
 - (b) Uses unauthorized materials or fabricated data in any academic exercise;
 - (c) Forges or falsifies academic documents or records;
 - (d) Intentionally impedes or damages the academic work of others;
 - (e) Engages in conduct aimed at making false representation of a student's academic performance; or
 - (f) Assists other students in any of these acts.
- (2) Examples of academic misconduct include, but are not limited to: cheating on an examination; collaborating with others in work to be presented, contrary to the stated rules of the course; submitting a paper or assignment as one's own work when a part or all of the paper or assignment is the work of another; submitting a paper or assignment that contains ideas or research of others without appropriately identifying the sources of those ideas; stealing examinations or course materials; submitting, if contrary to the rules of a course, work previously presented in another course; tampering with the laboratory experiment or computer program of another student; knowingly and intentionally assisting another student in any of the above, including assistance in an arrangement whereby any work, classroom performance, examination or other activity is submitted or performed by a person other than the student under whose name the work is submitted or performed.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[Dishonesty with respect to placement or proficiency tests constitutes another example of academic misconduct. (UWM, 1989)]

UWS 14.04 Disciplinary sanctions.

- (1) The following are the disciplinary sanctions that may be imposed for academic misconduct in

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

accordance with the procedures of s. UWS 14.05, 14.06 or 14.07:

- (a) An oral reprimand;
- (b) A written reprimand presented only to the student;
- (c) An assignment to repeat the work, to be graded on its merits;
- (d) A lower or failing grade on the particular assignment or test;
- (e) A lower grade in the course;
- (f) A failing grade in the course;
- (g) Removal of the student from the course in progress;

[^(g1) Personal probation, whereby the student is required to meet with a specific University faculty or staff member at intervals to be specified. (UWM, 1989)]

- (h) A written reprimand to be included in the student's disciplinary file;
- (i) Disciplinary probation; or
- (j) Suspension or expulsion from the university.

(2) One or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in sub. (1) may be imposed for an incident of academic misconduct.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89

UWS 14.05 Disciplinary sanction imposed at the discretion of the instructor.

- (1) Where an instructor concludes that a student enrolled in one of his or her courses has engaged in academic misconduct in the course, the instructor for that course may impose one or more of the following disciplinary sanctions, as listed under s. UWS 14.04 (1) (a) through (c):
 - (a) An oral reprimand;
 - (b) A written reprimand presented only to the student; or
 - (c) An assignment to repeat the work, to be graded on its merits.
- (2) No disciplinary sanction may be imposed under this section unless the instructor promptly offers to discuss the matter with the student. The purpose of this discussion is to permit the instructor to review with the student the bases for his or her belief that the student engaged in academic misconduct, and to afford the student an opportunity to respond.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

- (3) A student who receives a disciplinary sanction under this section has the right to a hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee under s. UWS 14.08 to contest the determination that academic misconduct occurred, or the disciplinary sanction imposed, or both. If the student desires such a hearing, he or she must file a written request with the student affairs officer within 10 days of imposition the disciplinary sanction by the instructor.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[Alleged misconduct during the administration of placement or proficiency tests. In the case of alleged misconduct occurring during the administration of placement or proficiency tests, the chief administrator of the Testing Office or his/her superior in the Department of Enrollment services will perform the functions comparable to those of instructors as outlined in UWS Chapter 14.]

While an incident of alleged misconduct is being adjudicated, use of the test result in question for its intended purpose will be postponed. Only if it is concluded that the test score was unaffected by misconduct will the test results be used. (UWM, 1989)]

UWS 14.06 Disciplinary sanction imposed following a report of academic misconduct by the instructor.

Where an instructor believes that a student enrolled in one of his or her courses has engaged in academic misconduct and the sanctions listed under s. UWS 14.04 (1) (a) through (c) are inadequate or inappropriate, the instructor may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing rights in s. UWS 14.08, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWS (1) (d) through (h).

- (1) CONFERENCE WITH STUDENT. When an instructor concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, the instructor shall promptly offer to discuss the matter with the student. The purpose of this discussion is to permit the instructor to review with the student the bases for his or her belief that the student engaged in academic misconduct and to afford the student an opportunity to respond.
- (2) DETERMINATION BY THE INSTRUCTOR THAT NO ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED. If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (1), the instructor determines that academic misconduct did not in fact occur or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted under the circumstances, the matter will be considered resolved without the necessity for further action or a written report.
- (3) PROCESS FOLLOWING DETERMINATION BY THE INSTRUCTOR THAT ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED.
- (a) If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (1), the instructor determines that academic misconduct did occur and that one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWS 14.04 (1) (d) through (h) should be recommended, the instructor shall prepare a written report so informing the student, which shall contain the following:

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

1. A description of the misconduct;
 2. Specification of the sanction recommended;
 3. Notice of the student's right to request a hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee; and
 4. A copy of the institutional procedures adopted to implement this section.
- (b) The written report shall be delivered personally, by electronic means, or mailed to the student by regular first-class United States mail at his or her current address, as maintained at the institution. In addition, copies of the report shall be provided to the institution's student affairs officer and to others authorized by institutional procedures.
- (c) A student who receive a written report under this section has the right to a hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee under s. UWS 14.08 to contest the determination that academic misconduct occurred, or the choice of disciplinary sanction, or both. If the student desires the hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee, the student must file a written request with the student affairs officer within 10 days of personal delivery, electronic delivery, or mailing of the written report. If the student does not request a hearing within this period, the determination of academic misconduct shall be regarded as final, and the disciplinary sanction recommended shall be imposed.
- (4) **PROCESS FOLLOWING DETERMINATION BY THE INSTRUCTOR THAT DISCIPLINARY PROBATION, SUSPENSION OR EXPULSION MAY BE WARRANTED.**

- (a) If, as a result of a discussion under sub. (1), the instructor determines that academic misconduct did occur and that disciplinary probation, suspension or expulsion under s. UWS 14.04 (1) (i) or (j) should be recommended, the instructor shall provide a written report to the investigating officer, which shall contain the following:
1. A description of the misconduct; and
 2. Specification of the sanction recommended.
- (b) Upon receipt of a report under this subsection, the investigating officer may proceed, in accordance with s. UWS 14.07, to impose a disciplinary sanction.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.07 Disciplinary sanction imposed following a report of academic misconduct by the investigating officer.

The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section to impose, subject to hearing and appeal rights, one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed in UWS 14.04 (1) (g) through (j).

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

- (1) AUTHORITY OF INVESTIGATING OFFICER. The investigating officer may proceed in accordance with this section when he or she receives information that a student at the institution has engaged in alleged academic misconduct and:
 - (a) Some or all of the alleged academic misconduct occurred outside the scope of any course for which the involved student is currently registered;
 - (b) The involved student has previously engaged in academic misconduct subject to the disciplinary sanctions listed in s. UWS 14.04 (1) (d) through (j);
 - (c) The alleged misconduct would, if proved to have occurred, warrant a sanction of disciplinary probation, suspension or expulsion; or
 - (d) The instructor in the course is unable to proceed.
- (2) CONFERENCE WITH STUDENT. When the investigating officer concludes that proceedings under this section are warranted, he or she shall promptly offer to discuss the matter with the student. The purpose of this discussion is to permit the investigating officer to review with the student the bases for his or her belief that the student engaged in misconduct, and to afford the student an opportunity to respond.
- (3) CONFERENCE WITH INSTRUCTOR. An investigating officer proceeding under this section shall discuss the matter with an involved instructor. This discussion may occur either before or after the conference with student. It may include consultation with the instructor on the facts underlying the alleged academic misconduct and on the propriety of the recommended sanction.
- (4) DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT NO ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED. If, as a result of discussions under subs. (2) and (3), the investigating officer determines that academic misconduct did not in fact occur or that no disciplinary sanction is warranted under the circumstances, the matter will be considered resolved without the necessity for further action or a written report.
- (5) PROCESS FOLLOWING DETERMINATION BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER THAT ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OCCURRED.
 - (a) If, as a result of discussions under subs. (2) and (3), the investigating officer determines that academic misconduct did occur and that one or more of the disciplinary sanctions listed under s. UWS 14.04 (1) (g) through (j) should be recommended, the investigating officer shall prepare a written report so informing the student which shall contain the following:
 1. A description of the misconduct;
 2. Specification of the sanction recommended;
 3. Notice of the student's right to a hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee; and

4. A copy of the institutional procedures adopted to implement this section.
 - (b) The written report shall be delivered personally or by electronic means or mailed to the student by regular first-class United States mail at his or her current address, as maintained at the institution. In addition, a copy of the report shall be provided to the instructor and to the institution's student affairs officer.
 - (c) A student who receives a written report under this section has the right to a hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee under s. UWS 14.08 to contest the determination that academic misconduct occurred, or the choice of disciplinary sanction, or both.
 1. Except in cases where the disciplinary sanction recommended is disciplinary probation, suspension or expulsion, if the student desires the hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee, the student must file a written request with the student affairs office within 10 days of personal delivery, electronic delivery, or mailing of the written report. If the student does not request a hearing within this period, the determination of academic misconduct shall be regarded as final, and the disciplinary sanction recommended shall be imposed.
 2. In cases where the disciplinary sanction recommended is disciplinary probation, suspension or expulsion, the student affairs officer shall, upon receipt of the written report under par. (b), proceed under s. UWS 14.08 to schedule a hearing on the matter. The purpose of the hearing shall be to review the determination that academic misconduct occurred and the disciplinary sanction recommended. A hearing will be conducted unless the student waives, in writing, the right to such a hearing.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.08 Hearing.

- (1) If a student requests a hearing, or a hearing is required to be scheduled under s. UWS 14.07 (5) (c) 2, the student affairs officer shall take the necessary steps to convene the academic misconduct hearing committee and shall schedule the hearing within 10 days of receipt of the request or written report, unless a different time period is mutually agreed upon by the student, instructor or investigating officer, and the members of the hearing committee.

[Two academic misconduct hearing committees shall be established, one for cases involving undergraduate and special students and one for graduate student cases.

The undergraduate/special student academic misconduct hearing committee shall consist of one faculty or instructional academic staff member of each school or college, except. The Graduate School, appointed by the respective academic deans after consultation with an appropriate school/college faculty committee to serve two-year terms on a staggered basis beginning September 1; and five students who shall serve one-year terms beginning September 1. For each hearing, a panel comprised of three faculty/academic staff and two students shall be selected

randomly from the membership of the total committee. The student affairs officer [see 14.02(14)] shall select and convene the panel, preside at hearings but not vote, and perform all administrative duties of the committee including maintaining records and preparing/sending correspondence.

The Academic Misconduct Hearing Committee for graduate student cases shall be the Graduate School Scholastic Appeals Committee. The student affairs officer (see previous paragraph) shall convene the committee, preside at hearings but not vote, and perform all administrative duties of the committee including maintaining records and preparing/sending correspondence. (UWM, 1989)]

- (2) Reasonably in advance of the hearing, the committee shall obtain from the instructor or investigating officer, in writing, a full explanation of the facts upon which the determination of misconduct was based, and shall provide a copy of ch. UWS 14 to the student.
- (3) The hearing before the academic misconduct hearing committee shall be conducted in accordance with the following requirements:
 - (a) The student shall have the right to question adverse witnesses, the right to present evidence and witnesses, and to be heard in his or her own behalf, and the right to be accompanied by a representative of his or her choice.
 - (b) The hearing committee shall not be bound by common law or statutory rules of evidence and may admit evidence having reasonable probative value, but shall exclude immaterial, irrelevant, or unduly repetitious testimony, and shall give effect to recognized legal privileges.
 - (c) The hearing committee shall make a record of the hearing. The record shall include a verbatim record of the testimony, which may be a sound recording, and a file of the exhibits offered at the hearing. Any party to the hearing may obtain copies of the record at his or her own expense. Upon a showing of indigency and legal need, a party may be provided a copy of the verbatim record of the testimony without charge.
 - (d) The hearing committee shall prepare written findings of fact and a written statement of its decision based upon the record of the hearing.
 - (e) The hearing committee may find academic misconduct and impose a sanction of suspension or expulsion only if the proof of such misconduct is clear and convincing. In other cases, a finding of misconduct must be based on a preponderance of the credible evidence.
 - (f) The committee may impose a disciplinary sanction that differs from the recommendation of the instructor or investigating officer.
 - (g) The instructor or the investigating officer or both may be witnesses at the hearing conducted by the committee, but do not have responsibility for conducting the hearing.
 - (h) The decision of the hearing committee shall be served upon the student either by personal

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

delivery, electronic means, or first class United States mail and shall become final within 10 days of service, unless an appeal is taken under s. UWS 14.09.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.09 Appeal to the chancellor.

- (1) Where the sanction prescribed by the hearing committee is suspension or expulsion, the student may appeal to the chancellor to review the decision of the hearing committee on the record. In such a case, the chancellor shall sustain the decision of the academic misconduct hearing committee unless the chancellor finds:
 - (a) The evidence of record does not support the findings and recommendations of the hearing committee;
 - (b) Established procedures were not followed by the academic misconduct hearing committee and material prejudice to the student resulted; or
 - (c) The decision was based on factors proscribed by state or federal law regarding equal educational opportunities.
- (2) If the chancellor makes a finding under sub. (1), the chancellor may remand the matter for consideration by a different hearing committee, or, in the alternative, may invoke an appropriate remedy of his or her own.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[If the Chancellor remands the matter for consideration by a different hearing committee, four faculty and two student members of the Academic Policy Committee will be appointed by the Chancellor to serve as the hearing committee. (UWM, 1989)]

UWS 14.10 Discretionary appeal to the board of regents.

Institutional decisions under s. UWS 14.05 through 14.09 shall be final, except that the board of regents may, at its discretion, grant a review upon the record.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.11 Settlement.

The procedures set forth in this chapter do not preclude a student from agreeing that academic misconduct occurred and to the imposition of a sanction, after proper notice has been given. Required written reports, however, may not be waived.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.12 Effect of discipline within the university system.

Suspension or expulsion shall be systemwide in effect.

- (1) A student who is suspended or expelled from one institution in the university of Wisconsin system may not enroll in another institution in the system unless the suspension has expired by its own terms or one year has elapsed after the student has been suspended or expelled.
- (2) Upon completion of a suspension period, a student may re-enroll in the institution which suspended him or her as if no suspension had been imposed.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff, 3-1-89.

UWS 14.13 Right to petition for readmission.

A student who has been expelled may petition for readmission, and a student who has been suspended may petition for readmission prior to the expiration of the suspension period. The petition for readmission must be in writing and directed to the chancellor of the institution from which the student was suspended or expelled. The petition may not be filed before the expiration of one year from the date of the final determination in expulsion cases, or before the expiration of one-half of the suspension period in suspension cases. The chancellor shall, after consultation with elected representatives of the faculty, academic staff, and students, adopt procedures for determining whether such petitions will be granted or denied.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[Petitions for readmission shall be directed to the student affairs officer who will convene an appropriate academic misconduct hearing committee. The decision of the academic misconduct hearing committee shall be served upon the student either by personal delivery, by electronic means, or by first class United States mail. (UWM, 1989)]

UWS 14.14 Investigating officer.

The chancellor of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student representatives, shall designate an investigating officer or officers for student academic misconduct. The investigating officer shall have responsibility for investigating student academic misconduct and initiating procedures for academic misconduct under s. UWS 14.07. An investigating officer may also serve on the academic misconduct hearing committee for a case, if he or she has not otherwise been involved in the matter.

History: CR. Register, February 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[See insert following 14.02(12) above (UWM, 1989)]

UWS 14.15 Academic misconduct hearing committee: institutional option.

The chancellor of each institution, in consultation with faculty, academic staff, and student

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

representatives, shall adopt policies providing for the establishment of a student academic misconduct hearing committee or designation of a hearing examiner to fulfill the responsibilities of the academic misconduct hearing committee in this chapter.

- (1) A student academic misconduct hearing committee shall consist of at least 3 persons, including a student or students, and the presiding officer shall be appointed by the chancellor. The presiding officer and at least one other member shall constitute a quorum at any hearing held pursuant to due notice.

[See insert following 14.08 above (UWM, 1989)]

- (2) A hearing examiner shall be selected by the chancellor from the faculty and staff of the institution.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.16 Notice to students.

Each institution shall publish and make freely available to students copies of ch. UWS 14 and any institutional policies implementing ch. UWS 14.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.17 Notice to instructors.

Each institution shall adopt procedures to ensure that instructors are familiar with these policies. Each institution shall provide instructors with copies of ch. UWS 14 and any institutional policies implementing ch. UWS 14 upon employment with the university, and each department chair shall be provided such copies upon assuming the duties of the chair.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

UWS 14.16 Consistent institutional policies.

Each institution is authorized to adopt policies consistent with this chapter. A copy of such policies shall be filed with the board of regents and the university of Wisconsin system office of academic affairs.

History: Cr. Register, February, 1989, No. 398, eff. 3-1-89.

[Records of Students with Disciplinary Charges Pending.] Whenever charges or appeals under Chapter 14 are pending, a student charged shall have the same rights and privileges accorded other students. Accordingly, a student under charges shall be entitled to receive grades and transcripts in the same manner as other students. The University may withhold diplomas pending final determination of the charges. Transcripts shall not contain any notation of anticipated disciplinary actions. Only transcripts of students currently suspended or expelled shall contain notice to this effect. (UWM, 1989)

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE DOCUMENT NO. 1686, OCTOBER 19, 1989
NOVEMBER 16, 1989

[Records of Disciplinary Action. The Dean of Students shall be notified in writing of all disciplinary actions taken and/or sanctions imposed for academic misconduct, whether imposed by an instructor, the academic misconduct hearing committee, or an appeals body. (UWM, 1989)]