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TO Faculty of the Division of Social Sciences
FROM: Thomas Holbrook, Chair
Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee
RE: 2017-18 Annual Report

In accordance with Sections 3.07, 3.15(2) and 6.12 of the UWM Policies and Procedures, I submit to you a report of the activities of the Executive Committee of the Division of Social Sciences.

## I. MEMBERSHIP

A. 2017-18 Executive Committee

Professor Thomas Holbrook Political Science 2020
Associate Sunwoong Kim ${ }^{1}$
Professor Aneesh Aneesh
Economics 2020
Professor Sanjoy Ghose ${ }^{2}$
Sociology 2019
Professor Joseph Gray ${ }^{3}$
Business 2019
Anthropology 2019
Associate Professor Woonsup Choi Geography 2018
Professor Karyn Frick Psychology 2018
${ }^{1}$ Repl L. Timmerman (2020 E) $\quad{ }^{2}$ Repl Q. Wang (2019 E) ${ }^{3}$ Repl T. Malaby (2019 E)
B. 2018-19 Executive Committee

Associate Professor Shawn Cahill
Physics 2021
Associate Professor Erin Sahlstein Parcell
Communication 2021
Professor Thomas Holbrook (Chair) Political Science 2020
Associate Sunwoong Kim ${ }^{1}$ Economics 2020
Professor Aneesh Aneesh Sociology 2019
Professor Sanjoy Ghose ${ }^{2} \quad$ Business 2019
Professor Joseph Gray ${ }^{3}$
Anthropology 2019
${ }^{1}$ Repl L. Timmerman (2020 E) $\quad{ }^{2}$ Repl Q. Wang (2019 E) $\quad{ }^{3}$ Repl T. Malaby (2019 E)

## C. 2018-19 Alternate Members

Persons eligible to serve as alternate members. The year listed indicates how long, according to the five-year rule, they will remain eligible.

| Associate Professor Woonsup Choi | Geography | 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professor Karyn Frick | Psychology | 2023 |
| Professor Thomas Malaby | Anthropology | 2023 |
| Professor Marc Levine | History | 2022 |
| Associate Professor Joel Rast | Political Science | 2022 |
| Associate Professor Jean Hudson | Anthropology | 2021 |
| Associate Professor Jennifer Jordan | Sociology | 2021 |
| Professor James Peoples | Economics | 2021 |
| Professor Fred Helmstetter | Psychology | 2020 |
| Professor Uk Heo | Political Science | 2020 |
| Professor Kathleen Dolan | Political Science | 2019 |
| Professor Changshan Wu | Geography | 2019 |

## II. COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

The Committee scheduled seven (7) meetings for the 2017-8 academic year.
September 26, 2017 Trudy Turner, Secretary of the Univ; schedule Fall 2017 meetings

October 10, 2017
November 7, 2017
December 5, 2017
February 12, 2018
March 5, 2018
April 13, 2018

Personnel considerations
Personnel considerations
Personnel considerations, schedule meetings for Spring 2018
Personnel considerations
Personnel considerations/ review criteria/ elect 2018-19 chair
Post-Tenure Review Procedure Discussion

## III. RECOMMENDATION ON PERSONNEL CASES

A. Recommendations by the Entire Executive Committee
Promotion to the rank of Associate Professor with Tenure
Appointment to the rank of Associate Professor with Tenure

| Positive |  | Negative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 |  | $\mathbf{T o t a l}$ |
| 0 | 0 |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## B. Recommendations by the Subcommittee of Full Professors <br> Promotion to the rank of Professor <br> Appointment to the rank of Professor

| Positive | Negative | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |

C. Total Recommendations Forwarded

| Positive | Negative | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 0 |  |

## IV. CRITERIA

There were no revisions to the Social Sciences Criteria for Procedures and Evaluative Criteria for Reviewing Departmental Recommendations for Appointment to Tenure and/or Promotion document.
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## V. POST-TENURE REVIEW CRITERIA

In response to the UWM Post-Tenure Review Policy (Faculty Document No. 3083), the Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee adopted Procedures for Responding to Negative PostTenure Review and a Checklist for Post-Tenure Review Files to be Submitted for Review. These documents outline the procedures and criteria that the Committee will use to advise the Dean about whether the "Does Not Meet Expectations" judgment is justified. (See Attachments.)

## PROCEDURES FOR RESPONDING TO A NEGATIVE POST TENURE REVIEW

## May 2018

This document lays out the procedures and criteria that the Committee will use to advise the Dean about whether the "Does Not Meet Expectations" judgment is justified.

Upon the vote of a Departmental Executive Committee that a tenured faculty member has failed to meet expectations relative to departmental criteria and a 5 -year Faculty Development Plan on file (UWM Faculty Document No. 3083), the Dean of the relevant college will seek the advice of the Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee. The role of the Divisional Executive Committee is to determine whether or not sufficient reasons are provided for the judgment of the Department that the faculty member "Does Not Meet Expectations," and submit that evaluation to the Dean.

The Dean's request for advice will be forwarded to the Chair of the Divisional Executive Committee, along with files prepared for a Departmental recommendation of "Does Not Meet Expectations" from a faculty member's post-tenure review in accordance with the outline provided below. The Committee strongly urges the Department to refer to the checklist to ensure that the file conforms to the content and organization requirements. The Committee will return incomplete files that do not follow the provided outline. Completed files should be sent to the appropriate Dean, and not directly to the Divisional Executive Committee. After a sufficiency examination by the Dean, the Dean should transmit the file to the Divisional Executive Committee, along with a request for advice.

1. The materials forwarded to the Divisional Executive Committee should include: 1) the Primary File, 2) the Appendix, and 3) the transmittal letter from the Dean, requesting advice from the Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee on the extent to which sufficient reasons are provided for the judgment of the Department that the faculty member "Does Not Meet Expectations." A checklist of the content to be included in the Primary File and Appendix is located in Appendix X.
2. Once the Dean has requested advice from the Divisional Executive Committee and electronically transmitted the materials described above, the Committee will review the materials and set a meeting date and time.
3. At the meeting of the Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee, a representative of the Departmental Executive Committee will make a presentation to the Divisional Committee, answering their questions about the documentation provided. Discussion will center on the extent to which the Department adhered to their criteria and policies for post tenure review, and not on the qualities of the faculty member.
4. The faculty member will have the opportunity to make a presentation and answer questions from the Divisional Executive Committee at the same meeting, without the representatives of the department EC present.
5. Following review of materials and discussion, the Divisional Executive Committee members will discuss and vote on:
a) whether or not the process followed by the Department was sufficient, and
b) to the extent possible, given the information available, whether or not the candidate meets expectations.

A vote of "sufficient" means the Divisional Executive Committee assesses the process followed by the Department as adhering to the policies outlined in UWM Faculty Document 3083 and finds that the decision made by the Department is supported by the provided documentation and description of process. A vote of "insufficient" means the reasons for the Department's decision are not sufficiently supported by processes and/or documentation.
6. The outcome of the vote is then transmitted to the Dean within 10 business days, for their further consideration.

## APPENDIX X

## Checklist for Post-Tenure Review Files Submitted for Review to the Division of Social Sciences Executive Committee

The following is a checklist for materials that must be included in the file of Deans seeking advice on Departmental votes of "Does Not Meet Expectations" during post-tenure review. It is to be completed by the chair of the relevant (department, college, or school) executive committee or individual (other than the faculty member) responsible for forwarding the file.

Submit a digital version of all materials. The digital version must be transmitted via a flash drive or OneDrive/SharePoint link. (Please note that the entire path, including the file name and folder names, must contain fewer than 200 characters.)

| A. Primary file (in chronological order): |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Index |
|  | Name of Department Chair or Contact, email address, and phone number |
|  | A letter from the Chair of the Department Executive Committee describing <br> attendance at the Executive Committee meeting and the vote <br> (ayes/nays/abstentions) |
| guiding the review - these should have been approved by the Department Executive |  |
| Committee prior to the construction and approval of the faculty member's 5-year |  |
| Faculty Development Plan. |  |
| - If Departmental post-tenure review criteria have changed since the approval |  |
| of the faculty member's 5-year Faculty Development Plan, these should also |  |
| be provided. |  |


|  | Evidence of the expectations for the faculty member, including their latest 5-year <br> Faculty Development Plan and prior reviews conducted by the Department |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other relevant evidence considered by the Departmental Executive Committee in |  |
| their decion |  |

